## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

CASE NO.: 09-20467-CIV-UNGARO/SIMONTON

TRACFONE WIRELESS, INC., a Delaware Corporation,

Plaintiff,

٧.

WIRELESS CELLUTIONS.COM INC., a Florida corporation; RICHARD HIDALGO, individually, OUALITY ONE WIRELESS, LLC, a Florida limited liability company; JOHN CHIORANDO, individually, JOHN DOES 1-50; and XYZ COMPANIES 1-50,

Defendants.	
Defenuants.	

## FINAL JUDGMENT AND PERMANENT INJUNCTION AGAINST DEFENDANTS

Plaintiff, TRACFONE WIRELESS, INC. ("TracFone"), brought the above-captioned lawsuit against WIRELESS CELLUTIONS.COM INC., a Florida corporation, ("Wireless Cellutions"), QUALITY ONE WIRELESS, LLC, a Florida limited liability company, ("Quality One"), RICHARD HIDALGO, individually ("Hidalgo") and JOHN CHIORANDO, individually ("Chiorando") (collectively, "Defendants"), alleging that the Defendants are engaged in an unlawful enterprise involving the acquisition, sale and alteration of large quantities of TracFone and TracFone's NET10, and SafeLink branded prepaid wireless telephones ("TracFone/NET10 Prepaid Phones" or "Phones") purchased from various retail outlets such as Wal-Mart, Target and Sam's Club, the solicitation and payment of others to bulk purchase TracFone/NET10 Prepaid Phones for Defendants' benefit, and computer hacking and erasing or otherwise disabling the prepaid software ("TracFone/NET10 Prepaid Software") installed in the Phones and essential for consumers to access TracFone's prepaid wireless network. This unlawful business practice involves the unauthorized and/or unlawful purchase, resale and/or trafficking of TracFone/NET10 Prepaid Phones, the unauthorized and/or unlawful unlocking and/or reflashing of TracFone/NET10 Prepaid Phones and/or alteration, copying, and/or accessing of TracFone's copyrighted and proprietary software computer code installed in the Phones, or reselling the Phones to others who disable the software, and ultimately sell the altered Phones as new to unsuspecting consumers under the TracFone, NET10, or SafeLink trademarks for unauthorized use outside of the TracFone prepaid wireless system for profit (the "Illicit Bulk Resale Scheme").

TracFone/NET10 Prepaid Phones are sold subject to terms and conditions ("Terms and Conditions") which conspicuously restrict and limit the sale and use of TracFone/NET10 Prepaid Phones. These Terms and Conditions are set forth in printed inserts that are included in the packaging with every TracFone/NET10 Prepaid Phone, and are also available to the public on TracFone's website. The Terms and Conditions are also referenced in printed warnings that are placed on the outside of the retail packaging of the Phones. The Terms and Conditions and language on the packaging constitute a valid binding contract between Defendants and TracFone.

Pursuant to the Terms and Conditions and the language on the packaging, purchasers of TracFone/NET10 Prepaid Phones agree: (a) to use the Phones only in conjunction with the TracFone or NET10 prepaid wireless service; (b) not to tamper with or alter TracFone/NET10 Prepaid Phones or the Phones' software, enter unauthorized PIN numbers in the Phones, engage in any other unauthorized or illegal use of the Phones or the TracFone or NET10 service, or assist others in such acts; and (c) not to export any TracFone/NET10 Prepaid Phones outside of the TracFone or NET10 wireless system coverage area ("Coverage Area"). TracFone alleges that, in violation of the Terms and Conditions, Defendants have, among other things, unlawfully exported TracFone/NET10 Prepaid Phones to foreign countries outside of the Coverage area or knowingly facilitated others who have done so; facilitated others to use the Phones without the TracFone or NET10 prepaid wireless service; and altered TracFone/NET10 Prepaid Phones and the Phones' software or facilitated others who have done so.

Pursuant to the Terms and Conditions and the language on the packaging, purchasers of TracFone/NET10 Prepaid Phones agree: (a) to use the Phones only in conjunction with the TracFone or NET10 prepaid wireless service; (b) not to tamper with or alter TracFone/NET10 Prepaid Phones or the Phones' software, enter unauthorized PIN numbers in the Phones, engage in any other unauthorized or illegal use of the Phones or the TracFone or NET10 service, or assist others in such acts; and (c) not to export any TracFone/NET10 Prepaid Phones outside of the TracFone or NET10 wireless system coverage area ("Coverage Area"). TracFone alleges that in violation of the Terms and Conditions, Defendants have, among other things, unlawfully exported TracFone/NET10 Prepaid Phones to foreign countries outside of the Coverage area or knowingly facilitated others who have done so; facilitated others to use the Phones without the TracFone or NET10 prepaid wireless service; and altered TracFone/NET10 Prepaid Phones and the Phones' software or facilitated others who have done so.

As a result of Defendants' alleged involvement in the Illicit Bulk Resale Scheme, TracFone asserted claims against the Defendants for Breach of Contract; Federal Trademark Infringement in violation of 15 U.S.C. §1114.; Federal Unfair Competition in violation of 15 U.S.C. §1125(A); Common Law Unfair Competition; Contributory Trademark Infringement; Copyright Infringement of Software in violation of Title 17 of the United States Code; Circumvention of Technological Measures That Control Access to Copyrighted Software and Trafficking in Services That Circumvent Technological Measures Protecting Copyrighted Software in violation of the Digital Millennium Copyright Act ("DMCA"); dilution of TracFone's marks, 17 U.S.C. §1201, et seq.; Tortious Interference with Business Relationships and Prospective Advantage; Tortious Interference with Contract; Dilution of TracFone's Trademarks under common law unfair competition; conspiracy to induce breach of contract; unfair competition unfair competition and false advertising under Fla. Stat. 501.204; civil conspiracy; and unjust enrichment.

Accordingly, it is hereby,

## **ORDERED**, **ADJUDGED** and **DECREED** that:

- This Court has jurisdiction over all the parties and all of the claims set forth in 1. TracFone's Complaint.
- The Court finds that TracFone owns all right, title, and interest in and to United 2. States Trademark Registration No. 2,114,692, for "TracFone," issued on November 18, 1997 and based on a first use date of June 30, 1996; United States Trademark Registration No. 2,761,017, for "TracFone," issued on September 9, 2003 and based on a first use date of December 2000; United States Trademark Registration No. 3,224,929, for "TracFone," issued on April 3, 2007 and based on a first use date of December 31, 2005; United States Trademark Registration No. 3,222,623, for "TracFone," issued on March 27, 2007 and based on a first use date of December 31, 2005; United States Trademark Registration No. 3,118,250, for "NET10," on July 18, 2006 and based on a first use date of March 1, 2005; United States Trademark Registration No. 3,255,754, for "NET10," issued on June 26, 2007 and based on a first use date of December 31, 2005; United States Trademark Registration No. 3,253,506, for "NET10," issued on June 19, 2007 and based on a first use date of December 31, 2005; United States Trademark Registration No. 3,251,389, for "NET10," issued on June 12, 2007 and based on a first use date of December

- 31, 2005, and United States Trademark Application Serial No. 77,506,332 for SafeLink Wireless and Design, in International Class 38, application filed on June 24, 2008 (collectively the "TracFone/NET10/Straight Talk/SafeLink Trademarks").
- 3. The TracFone/NET10/Straight Talk/SafeLink Trademarks are valid, distinctive, protectable, famous, have acquired secondary meaning and are associated exclusively with TracFone. The Court finds that the trademark registered under Registration No. 2,114,692 is incontestable.
- 4. The Court further finds that TracFone holds a valid and enforceable copyright registration, TX 6-515-894, on the TracFone Prepaid Software.
- The Court finds that the Defendants' alleged involvement in the Illicit Bulk 5. Resale Scheme, of proven at trial, constitutes Breach of Contract; Federal Trademark Infringement in violation of 15 U.S.C. §1114.; Federal Unfair Competition in violation of 15 U.S.C. §1125(A); Common Law Unfair Competition; Contributory Trademark Infringement; Copyright Infringement of Software in violation of Title 17 of the United States Code; Circumvention of Technological Measures That Control Access to Copyrighted Software and Trafficking in Services That Circumvent Technological Measures Protecting Copyrighted Software in violation of the Digital Millennium Copyright Act ("DMCA"); dilution of TracFone's marks, 17 U.S.C. §1201, et seq.; Tortious Interference with Business Relationships and Prospective Advantage; Tortious Interference with Contract; Dilution of TracFone's Trademarks under common law unfair competition; conspiracy to induce breach of contract; unfair competition; unfair competition and false advertising under Fla. Stat. 501.204; civil conspiracy; and unjust enrichment.

- The Court finds that the Terms and Conditions constitute a valid binding contract 6. enforceable against Defendants. The Court finds that: (a) facilitating others to use TracFone/NET10 Prepaid Phones in conjunction with service providers other than TracFone, (b) tampering with or altering TracFone/NET10 Prepaid Phones or the Phones' software, entering unauthorized PIN numbers in the Phones for purposes of unlocking or reflashing the Phones, or facilitating others in such acts, and/or (c) exporting TracFone/NET10 Prepaid Phones outside of the Coverage Area, or assisting others in such acts, respectively, constitute independent breaches of contract for which TracFone is entitled to relief.
- The Court further finds that Defendants' alleged participation in the Illicit Bulk 7. Resale Scheme, if proven at trial, has caused substantial and irreparable harm to TracFone, and will continue to cause substantial and irreparable harm to TracFone unless enjoined.
- TracFone is entitled to injunctive relief and damages on the claims set forth in the 8. Complaint.
- On November 27, 2006, the Librarian of Congress, upon the recommendation of 9. the Register of Copyrights, issued a Final Rule setting forth six (6) classes of copyrighted works that are exempt from the provisions of the DMCA, including:

Computer programs in the form of firmware that enable wireless telephone handsets to connect to a wireless telephone communication network, when circumvention is accomplished for the sole purpose of lawfully connecting to a wireless telephone communication network.

71 Fed. Reg. 68472 (Nov. 27, 2006) (amending 37 C.F.R. §201.40(b)). The Court finds that this exemption does not absolve the Defendants of liability for their alleged violations of the DMCA as alleged in TracFone's Complaint, because the Defendants' conduct as alleged in this case does not come within the scope of the exemption. The Defendants' alleged €purchase and resale of TracFone/NET10 Prepaid Phones was for the purpose of reselling those handsets for a profit, and not "for the sole purpose of lawfully connecting to a wireless telephone communication network." Because the exemption does not apply to the conduct alleged in this case, there is no need for the Court to address the validity of the exemption or the circumstances surrounding its enactment.

- Final judgment as to liability is hereby entered against Defendants, jointly and 10. severally, and in favor of the Plaintiff, TracFone Wireless, Inc., a Delaware corporation ("TracFone"), on all of the claims set forth in TracFone's Complaint.
- The Court hereby retains jurisdiction to enter final judgment on a damages award 11. against Defendants.
- Defendants, Wireless Cellutions and Quality One, and each and all of its 12. respective officers, directors, successors, assigns, parents, subsidiaries, affiliates, related companies, predecessors-in-interest, principals, agents, employees, attorneys, accountants, investigators, consultants, and all other persons or entities acting or purporting to act for him/her/it or on his/her/its behalf, including but not limited to any corporation, partnership, proprietorship or entity of any type that is in any way affiliated or associated with any Defendant or any Defendant's representatives, agents, assigns, parent entities, employees, independent contractors, accountants, attorneys, associates, servants, affiliated entities, Hidalgo and Chiorando, and each of his heirs, assigns, personal representatives, beneficiaries, relatives, agents, employees, attorneys, accountants, investigators, consultants and all other persons and entities acting or purporting to act for him or on his behalf, including but not limited to any corporation, partnership, proprietorship or entity of any type that is in any way affiliated or associated with any Defendant, and any and all persons and entities in active concert and participation with any Defendant, are hereby PERMANENTLY ENJOINED from:

a.

purchasing and/or selling any wireless mobile handset that they know, or should know, bears, or at one time bore, any TracFone/NET10/Straight Talk/SafeLink Trademark, any other trademark owned or used by TracFone, or any other model of wireless mobile phone sold or marketed by TracFone ("TracFone/NET10 Handsets"). Specifically, the Defendants are enjoined from purchasing and/or selling all models of wireless phones currently offered for sale by TracFone, or that may be offered for sale in the future, as listed and updated from time to time TracFone's, NET10's, Straight Talk's, and SafeLink's websites, www.straighttalk.com, and www.tracfone.com, www.net10.com, www.safelink.com, respectively, and including without limitation the following TracFone/NET10 handsets:

Kyocera K126C	Motorola C343	Nokia 1100
LG 1500	Motorola V170	Nokia 1112
LG 200C	Motorola V171	Nokia 1600
LG 200CM	Motorola RAZR V3a	Nokia 2126
LG 300G	Motorola V176	Nokia 2126i
LG 3280	Motorola W175g	Nokia 2285
LG 400G	Motorola W260g	Nokia 2600
LG 410G	Motorola W326g	Nokia 3390
LG 600G	Motorola W370	Samsung T101G
LG CG225	Motorola W375	Samsung T201G
Motorola C139	Motorola W376g	Samsung T301G
Motorola C155	Motorola W377g	
Motorola C261	Motorola W385	

- rekitting, reflashing and/or unlocking of any TracFone/NET10 Handset; b.
- accessing, altering, erasing, tampering with, deleting or otherwise c. disabling TracFone's proprietary prepaid cellular software contained within any model of TracFone/NET10 Handsets;

- facilitating or in any way assisting other persons or entities who d. Defendants know or should know are engaged in rekitting, reflashing and/or unlocking TracFone/NET10 Handsets and/or hacking, altering, erasing, tampering with, deleting or otherwise disabling the software installed in TracFone/NET10 Handsets;
- facilitating or in any way assisting other persons or entities who e. Defendants know or should know are engaged in any of the acts prohibited under this Permanent Injunction including, without limitation, the buying and/or selling of unlocked TracFone/NET10 Handsets; and,
- f. knowingly using the TracFone/NET10/Straight Talk/SafeLink Trademarks or any other trademark owned or used by TracFone, or that is likely to with TracFone/NET10/Straight Talk/SafeLink's cause confusion Trademarks, without TracFone's prior written authorization.
- The Court retains jurisdiction over this matter and the parties to this action in 13. order to enforce any violation of the terms of this Permanent Injunction or the parties' settlement.
- 14. If any defendant to this action violates the terms of this Permanent Injunction, the Court will, upon TracFone's filing of an Affidavit or Declaration of Violation, order the payment of compensatory damages to TracFone in the amount of Five Thousand Dollars and No Cents (\$5,000.00 (U.S.)) for each Phone purchased, sold, unlocked, reflashed, altered, advertised, solicited and/or shipped in violation of the Permanent Injunction, or a single damages award of Five Hundred Thousand Dollars and No Cents (\$500,000.00 (U.S.)), whichever is greater. The Court finds that these amounts are compensatory and reasonable estimations of the minimum damages suffered by TracFone for such a breach and will serve to compensate TracFone for its losses in the event a Defendant violates the terms of this Permanent Injunction.

- The last known address of Defendant, Wireless Cellutions is 11013 Northwest 15. 30<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite No. 100, Doral, Florida 33172.
- The last known address of Defendant, Richard Hidalgo is 11013 Northwest 30th 16. Street, Suite No. 100, Doral, Florida 33172.
- The last known address of Defendant, Quality One is 1500-A Tradeport Drive, 17. Orlando, Florida 32824.
- The last known address of Defendant, John Chiorando is 804 Eyrie Drive, 18. Oviedo, Florida 32765.
- The address of Plaintiff, TracFone Wireless, Inc. is 9700 Northwest 112th Avenue, 19. Miami, Florida 33178.
- The Court finds that there is no just reason for delay of the entry of judgment 20. against Defendants, Wireless Cellutions, Quality One, Richard Hidalgo and John Chiorando, individually, and therefore, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 54(b) directs the Clerk to enter Judgment as set forth herein.

DONE AND ORDERED in Musnipla; this 31 day of July.

2009.

Copies furnished to:

Counsel of Record