

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
DALLAS DIVISION

AT&T MOBILITY LLC and AT&T  
MOBILITY II LLC,

Plaintiffs,

v.

ARENA TRADING, INC., a Texas  
Corporation; KHALID TAYOB;  
RUBINA TARIQ, also known as RUBY  
TARIQ; RUBYLINK; HAIDER KHOJA;  
MURAD KHOJA; MEHBOOB KHOJA;  
MUSKAAN INC., a Texas corporation; WALK  
& TALK WIRELESS; GIDEON GIDANIAN;  
WESTSIDE COMMUNICATIONS;  
AMERICAS WIRELESS; JOHN DOES 1-50;  
XYZ COMPANIES 1-50,

Defendants.

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

CASE NO.: 3:08-cv-00330-P

**JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

**FINAL JUDGMENT AND PERMANENT INJUNCTION  
AGAINST DEFENDANTS**

Plaintiffs AT&T Mobility LLC and AT&T Mobility II LLC (collectively “AT&T”), brought the above-captioned lawsuit against Defendants, Khalid Tayob and Arena Trading, Inc. (collectively referred to as “Defendants”), alleging that Defendants are engaged in an unlawful enterprise involving the acquisition, sale, and alteration of large quantities of AT&T and GoPhone® branded wireless telephones (“GoPhones” or “Phones”) and associated SIM cards and activation kits, purchased from retail outlets, the solicitation and payment of others to bulk purchase Phones for Defendants’ benefit, computer-hacking and erasing or otherwise disabling software installed in the Phones, or selling the Phones to others who disable the software, and ultimately selling or facilitating the sale of the altered Phones as new under the AT&T

trademarks for unauthorized use outside of the AT&T wireless system for profit, as well as the fraudulent activation and sale of associated GoPhone SIM cards and PIN kits for the unlawful acquisition of free airtime (the “Illicit Bulk Retail Scheme”).

AT&T GoPhones are sold subject to terms and conditions (“Terms and Conditions”) which conspicuously restrict and limit the sale and use of the GoPhones. These Terms and Conditions are set forth in printed inserts that are included in the packaging with every AT&T GoPhone and are posted on AT&T’s website. The Terms and Conditions and language on the GoPhone packaging constitute a valid binding contract.

AT&T asserts that Defendants have violated the Terms and Conditions by, *inter alia*, purchasing AT&T GoPhones with the intent that such phones will not be activated and used on AT&T’s service, but instead with the intent to improperly unlock, repackage, and resell the phones, and by otherwise using the GoPhones.

As a result of Defendants’ alleged involvement in the Illicit Bulk Retail Scheme, AT&T asserted claims against Defendants for breach of contract; federal trademark infringement; federal trademark dilution; federal trademark infringement and false advertising under 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a)(1)(A) and (B); unfair competition under Texas common law; contributory trademark infringement; tortious interference with business relationships and prospective advantage; tortious interference with contract; harm to AT&T’s goodwill and business reputation; civil conspiracy; and unjust enrichment.

Based on the respective positions advocated by the parties and having reviewed the Complaint and file and being otherwise duly and fully advised in the premises, it is hereby

**ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED** that:

1. This Court has jurisdiction over all the parties and all of the claims set forth in AT&T's Amended Complaint.

2. The Court finds that AT&T has the right to use and enforce said rights in the stylized AT&T and GOPHONE marks, which are used in connection with telecommunications products and services, as depicted below:



AT&T uses the AT&T Marks on and in connection with its telecommunications products and services. The AT&T and GOPHONE marks are valid, distinctive, protectable, famous, have acquired secondary meaning, and are associated exclusively with AT&T.

3. The Court finds that Defendants' involvement in the Illicit Bulk Retail Scheme constitutes breach of contract; federal trademark infringement; federal trademark dilution; federal trademark infringement and false advertising under 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a)(1)(A) and (B); unfair competition under Texas common law; contributory trademark infringement; tortious interference with business relationships and prospective advantage; tortious interference with contract; harm to AT&T's goodwill and business reputation; civil conspiracy; and unjust enrichment.

4. The Court finds that the Terms and Conditions and the language on the GoPhone packaging constitute a valid binding contract enforceable against Defendants. The Court finds that (a) facilitating others to use GoPhones in conjunction with service providers other than AT&T, and (b) tampering with or altering, or facilitating or assisting others to tamper with or alter, GoPhones or the GoPhones' software constitute independent breaches of contract for which AT&T is entitled to relief.

5. The Court further finds that Defendants' participation in the Illicit Bulk Retail Scheme has caused substantial and irreparable harm to AT&T for which there is no adequate remedy at law, and will continue to cause substantial and irreparable harm to AT&T unless enjoined.

6. On review and consideration of all relevant factors, AT&T is entitled to damages and injunctive relief on the claims as set forth in the Amended Complaint.

7. Final judgment is hereby entered, jointly and severally, against Defendants Khalid Tayob and Arena Trading, Inc. and in favor of the Plaintiffs AT&T Mobility LLC and AT&T Mobility II LLC, on all claims set forth in AT&T's Amended Complaint in the principal amount of FIVE MILLIONS DOLLARS AND ZERO CENTS (\$5,000,000.00 (U.S.)), which shall bear interest at the legal rate, for which let execution issue forthwith.

8. If, after the Judgment is entered, it is discovered that the parties to this action have violated the terms of this Permanent Injunction, the Court will order the payment of compensatory damages to AT&T in the amount of Five Thousand Dollars and No Cents (\$5,000.00 (U.S.)) for each AT&T phone and/or item of GoPhone-related materials or accessories purchased, sold, unlocked, reflashed, altered, advertised, solicited and/or shipped. The Court finds that these amounts are compensatory and reasonable estimations of the

minimum damages suffered by AT&T for such a breach and will serve to compensate AT&T for its losses in the event a Defendant violates the terms of this Permanent Injunction.

9. Khalid Tayob and Arena Trading, Inc., and each and all of his and its past and present respective officers, directors, successors, assigns, parents, subsidiaries, affiliates, related companies, predecessors-in-interest, agents, employees, heirs, personal representatives, beneficiaries, relatives, and all other persons or entities acting or purporting to act for him/her/it or on his/her/its behalf, including but not limited to any corporation, partnership, proprietorship or entity of any type that is in any way affiliated or associated with any Defendant or any Defendant's representatives, agents, assigns, parent entities, employees, independent contractors, associates, servants, affiliated entities, and any and all persons and entities in active concert and participation with any Defendant who receive notice of this Order, shall be and hereby are PERMANENTLY ENJOINED from:

- a. purchasing, selling, unlocking, reflashing, altering, advertising, soliciting and/or shipping, directly or indirectly, any AT&T GoPhones or GoPhone-related materials or accessories, including activation kits, PIN numbers, user guides, and SIM cards;
- b. purchasing, selling, unlocking, reflashing, altering, advertising, soliciting and/or shipping, directly or indirectly, any AT&T wireless handset that Defendants know or should know bears any AT&T or GoPhone Trademark, any other trademark owned or used by AT&T. Unless expressly authorized by AT&T in writing, Defendants are enjoined from purchasing and/or selling, directly or indirectly, all models of GoPhones currently offered for sale by AT&T or that may be offered for sale in the future, as listed and updated from time to time on AT&T's website:

<http://www.att.com>, regardless of whether such devices are in or out of their original packaging, or whether “locked,” “unlocked,” or otherwise modified in any way by any person;

- c. accessing, altering, erasing, tampering with, deleting or otherwise disabling the software contained in any GoPhone;
- d. facilitating or in any way assisting other persons or entities who Defendants know or should know are engaged in unlocking GoPhones and/or hacking, altering, erasing, tampering with, deleting or otherwise disabling the software installed in GoPhones;
- e. facilitating or in any way assisting other persons or entities who Defendants know or should know are engaged in any of the acts prohibited under this Permanent Injunction, including, without limitation, the buying and/or selling of unlocked GoPhones; and
- f. knowingly using the AT&T or GoPhone Marks or any mark owned or used by AT&T, or that is likely to cause confusion with AT&T’s marks, without AT&T’s prior written authorization.

10. The address of Defendant Khalid Tayob is 3412 Walington Drive, Apt. 2529, Plano, Texas 75093–7447.

11. The address of Defendant Arena Trading, Inc. is 4659 Westgrove Drive, Addison, Texas 75001-3298.

12. The address of Plaintiffs AT&T Mobility LLC and AT&T Mobility II LLC is 5565 Glenridge Connector, Suite 1700, Atlanta, GA 30342.


13. The Court retains jurisdiction over this matter and the parties to this action in order to enforce any violation of the terms of this Permanent Injunction by a finding of contempt and an order for payment of compensatory damages to AT&T in accordance with paragraph 8 of this Judgment.

14. The prevailing party in any proceeding to enforce compliance with the terms of this Permanent Injunction shall be entitled to an award of its attorneys' fees and costs.

15. Defendants waive their right of appeal from the entry of this Final Judgment.

16. The Court hereby finds, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 54(b), that there is no just reason for delay and orders that Judgment shall be entered against Defendants as set forth herein.

DONE AND ORDERED in Dallas, Texas, this 4<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2009.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
JORGE A. SOLIS  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE