

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

CASE NO. 07-21242-CIV-KING/GARBER

TRACFONE WIRELESS, INC.  
a Florida corporation,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

PHONES PHONES, INC., a Texas  
Corporation, WIRELESS HOUSING,  
INC., a Texas corporation, ASPAC, INC.,  
a Texas corporation, MURAD MEHDI  
VELANI, individually, AMIN  
SAYANI, a/k/a Naushad Sayani,  
Individually, and JOHN DOES NOS.  
1 through 20, individually,

Defendants.

---

**FINAL JUDGMENT AND PERMANENT INJUNCTION**

Plaintiff, TracFone Wireless, Inc. ('TracFone'), brought the above-captioned lawsuit against Defendants, Phones N' Phones, Inc., a Texas corporation ('Phones'), Wireless Universe, Inc., a Texas corporation ('Wireless'), Aspac, Inc., a Texas corporation ('Aspac'), Murad Mehdi Velani, individually ('Velani'), Naushad Sayani, individually ('Naushad') and Celina Sayani, individually ('Celina'), and (collectively 'Defendants'), asserting that Defendants are engaged in an unlawful enterprise involving the acquisition, sale and counterfeiting of large quantities of TracFone prepaid wireless telephones ('TracFone Prepaid Phones' or 'Phones') that causes substantial and irreparable harm to TracFone (the 'Bulk Resale Venture').

Defendants perpetrate the Bulk Resale Venture by acquiring bulk quantities of TracFone Prepaid Phones from retail stores such as Wal-Mart, Target or Sam's Club, and by soliciting

others to purchase TracFone Prepaid Phones in bulk for the benefit of Defendants. TracFone asserts that Defendants acquire the TracFone Prepaid Phones with the actual or constructive knowledge and intent that the Phones will not be activated for use on the TracFone prepaid wireless network and that the Phones will be computer-hacked. The purpose of this hacking, known as "reflashing" or "unlocking," is to erase, remove and/or disable TracFone's copyrighted and proprietary software installed in the Phones ("TracFone Proprietary Software"), which enables the use of the TracFone Prepaid Phones exclusively on TracFone's prepaid wireless system. TracFone asserts that the reflashed Phones are then trafficked and resold overseas, at a premium, as new under TracFone's trademarks for unauthorized use outside of the TracFone prepaid wireless system.

TracFone Prepaid Phones are sold subject to terms and conditions ("Terms and Conditions") which conspicuously restrict and limit the sale and use of TracFone Prepaid Phones. These Terms and Conditions are set forth in printed inserts that are included in the packaging with every TracFone Phone, and are also available to the public on TracFone's website. The Terms and Conditions are also referenced in printed warnings that are placed on the outside of the retail packaging of the Phones. The Terms and Conditions and language on the packaging constitute a valid binding contract.

Pursuant to the Terms and Conditions and the language on the packaging, purchasers of TracFone Prepaid Phones agree: (a) to use their Phones only in conjunction with TracFone's prepaid wireless service, (b) not to tamper with or alter TracFone Prepaid Phones or the Phones' software, enter unauthorized PIN numbers in the Phones, engage in any other unauthorized or illegal use of the Phones or the TracFone service, or assist others in such acts, and (c) not to export any TracFone Prepaid Phones outside of the United States.

As a result of the Bulk Resale Venture, TracFone has asserted claims against Defendants for breach of contract; federal trademark infringement under 15 U.S.C. § 1114; copyright infringement under Title 17 of the United States Code; circumvention of technological measures that control access to proprietary software and trafficking in services that circumvent technological measures protecting proprietary software under 17 U.S.C. § 1201, *et. seq.* as a violation of the Digital Millennium Copyright Act ('DMCA'); unfair competition under the Trademark Act of 1946, as amended, 15 U.S.C. § 1051, *et. seq.*; tortious interference with business relationships and prospective advantages business relationships between TracFone and its manufacturers; unfair competition and false advertising under §§ 501.204 and 501.211, Fla. Stat.; harm to TracFone's goodwill and reputation under § 495.151, Fla. Stat.; civil conspiracy; and unjust enrichment. Defendants filed an Answer, denying TracFone's allegations. The Court, having reviewed the Complaint and file and being otherwise duly advised in the premises, it is hereby

**ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED** that:

1. This Court has jurisdiction over all the parties and all of the claims set forth in TracFone's complaint.
2. The Court finds that TracFone owns all right, title, and interest in and to Incontestable United States Trademark Registration No. 2,114,692, issued November 18, 1997, for TracFone, United States Trademark Registration No. 2,761,017, issued September 9, 2003, for TracFone and United States Trademark Registration No. 3,118,250 for Net 10, issued July 18, 2006 (collectively the 'Registered TracFone Trademarks'). The Registered TracFone Trademarks are valid, distinctive, protectable, famous, have acquired secondary meaning and are associated exclusively with TracFone. The Court finds that the trademark registered under Registration No.

2,114,692 is incontestable. The Court further finds that TracFone holds a valid and enforceable copyright on the TracFone Proprietary Software.

3. The Court finds that the Terms and Conditions and the language on the packaging constitute a valid binding contract enforceable against Defendants. The Court finds that (a) facilitating others to use TracFone Prepaid Phones in conjunction with service providers other than TracFone, (b) tampering with or altering TracFone Prepaid Phones or the Phones' software, entering unauthorized PIN numbers in the Phones for purposes of unlocking or reflashing the Phones, or facilitating others in such acts, and/or (c) exporting TracFone Prepaid Phones outside of the United States, respectively, constitute independent breaches of contract for which TracFone is entitled to relief.

4. The Court finds that the conduct alleged in the complaint, if proven would violate the following statutes: 15 U.S.C. § 1114 (trademark infringement); copyright infringement under Title 17 of the United States Code; 17 U.S.C. § 1201 (circumvention of technological measures that control access to proprietary software under the DMCA and trafficking in services that circumvent technological measures protecting copyrighted software); 15 U.S.C. § 1125 (unfair competition); unfair competition and false advertising under §§ 501.204 and 501.211, Fla. Stat.; and harm to TracFone's goodwill and reputation under § 495.151, Fla. The Court further finds that the conduct alleged in the complaint, if proven, would constitute tortious interference with TracFone's advantageous business relationship, civil conspiracy, and unjust enrichment, and has caused substantial and irreparable harm to TracFone, and would continue to cause substantial and irreparable harm to TracFone unless enjoined.

5. On November 27, 2006, the Librarian of Congress, upon the recommendation of the Register of Copyrights, issued a Final Rule setting forth six (6) classes of copyrighted works that are exempt from the provisions of the DMCA, including:

Computer programs in the form of firmware that enable wireless telephone handsets to connect to a wireless telephone communication network, when circumvention is accomplished for the sole purpose of lawfully connecting to a wireless telephone communication network.

71 Fed. Reg. 68472 (Nov. 27, 2006) (amending 37 C.F.R. § 201.40(b)). The Court finds that this new exemption does not absolve the Defendants of liability for their violations of the DMCA as alleged in Counts IV and V of TracFone's complaint, because the Defendants' conduct as alleged in this case does not come within the scope of the new exemption. The Defendants' purchase and resale of the TracFone handsets was for the purpose of reselling those handsets for a profit, and not "for the sole purpose of lawfully connecting to a wireless telephone communication network." Because the exemption does not apply to the conduct alleged in this case, there is no need for the Court to address the validity of the exemption or the circumstances surrounding its enactment.

6. TracFone has suffered damages, including loss of goodwill and damage to its reputation, as a result of the Defendants' conduct. TracFone is entitled to injunctive relief on the claims set forth in the complaint.

7. Final judgment is hereby entered against the Defendants, Phones N' Phones, Inc., a Texas corporation, Wireless Universe, Inc., a Texas corporation, Aspac, Inc., a Texas corporation, Murad Mehdi Velani, individually, Naushad Sayani, individually and Celina Sayani, individually (collectively "Defendants"), and in favor of the Plaintiff, TracFone Wireless, Inc., on all of the claims set forth in TracFone's complaint.

8. Defendants, and each and all of their representatives, agents, employees, independent contractors, servants and any and all persons and entities, including the Defendants' relatives and

associates, in active concert and participation with them, who receive notice of this order, shall be and hereby are PERMANENTLY ENJOINED from:

- a. Purchasing, selling and/or shipping any wireless mobile phone that they know or should know bears any Registered TracFone Trademark, any other trademark owned by TracFone, or any other model of wireless mobile device sold or marketed by TracFone or any of its affiliated or related entities, such as America Movil, bearing any Registered TracFone Trademark (‘TracFone Handsets’). Specifically, the Defendants are enjoined from purchasing, selling and/or shipping, directly or indirectly, all models of TracFone Handsets, including Net 10 products, regardless of whether such devices are new or used, whether in or out of their original packaging, or whether ‘locked,’ ‘unlocked,’ ‘reflashed,’ or otherwise modified in any way by any person. This injunction applies to all TracFone Handsets currently offered for sale by TracFone, or that may be offered for sale in the future, as listed and updated from time to time on TracFone’s websites, [http://tracfone.com/activation\\_pick\\_brand.jsp](http://tracfone.com/activation_pick_brand.jsp) and [www.net10.com](http://www.net10.com), including without limitation the following TracFone Handsets:-

Motorola W370	Nokia 2126	LG 3280
Motorola C261	Nokia 2126i	LG CG225
Motorola C139	Nokia 2600	LG 1500
Motorola V176	Nokia 1100	
Motorola V170	Nokia 1112	
Motorola V171	Nokia 1600	
Motorola C155	Nokia 2285	
Motorola C343		

- b. reflashing and/or unlocking of any TracFone Handset;

- c. accessing, altering, erasing, tampering with, deleting or otherwise disabling TracFone's proprietary prepaid cellular software contained within any and all models of TracFone Handsets;
- d. supplying TracFone Handsets to or facilitating or in any way assisting other persons or entities who Defendants know or should know are engaged in reflashing and/or unlocking TracFone Handsets and/or hacking, altering, erasing, tampering with, deleting or otherwise disabling the software installed in TracFone Handsets;
- e. supplying TracFone Handsets to, or facilitating or assisting, in any way, other persons or entities who Defendants know or should know are engaged in any of the acts prohibited under this permanent injunction including, without limitation, the buying , selling and/or shipping of locked or unlocked TracFone Handsets; and
- f. knowingly using the Registered TracFone Trademarks or any other trademark owned or used by TracFone now or in the future, without TracFone's prior written authorization.

9. The shipment of any TracFone Handsets within and/or outside of the continental United States is and shall be deemed a presumptive violation of this permanent injunction.

10. The last known address of Defendant Phones N' Phones, Inc 4325 Rice Lane, Carrollton, TX 75010.

11. The last known address of Defendant Wireless Universe, Inc. 4325 Rice Lane, Carrollton, TX 75010.

12. The last known address of Defendant Aspac, Inc. is 1620 N. I-30, Suite 308, Carrollton, TX 75006.

13. The last known address of Defendant Murad Mehdi Velani is 1220 Indian Run Drive, Carrollton, TX 75010.

14. The last known address of Defendant Naushad Sayani is 4325 Rice Lane, Carrollton, TX 75010.

15. The last known address of Defendant Celina Sayani is 4325 Rice Lane, Carrollton, TX 75010.


16. The address of Plaintiff, TracFone Wireless, Inc., is 8390 NW 25<sup>th</sup> Street, Miami, FL 33122.

17. Defendants waive their right to appeal from the entry of this Final Judgment.

18. The Court retains jurisdiction over this matter and the parties to this action in order to punish any violation of the terms of this Permanent Injunction by a finding of contempt and a payment of damages to TracFone Wireless, Inc. in an amount of not less than \$5,000 for each TracFone Handset that a Defendant is found to have purchased, sold, unlocked or shipped in violation of this injunction.

19. The prevailing party in any proceeding to enforce compliance with the terms of this Permanent Injunction shall be entitled to an award of its attorneys' fees and costs.

DONE AND ORDERED in Dallas, Texas, this 30 day of Aug, 2007.

  
United States District Judge

Copies furnished to:  
James B. Baldinger, *Counsel for TracFone Wireless*  
Janet T. Munn, *Counsel for Defendants*



Molly Buck Richard, *Counsel for Defendants*